reference	participants' characteristics	intervention group/ control group	outcomes	critical appraisal/ conclusion
reference Fiorelli et al. 2016 Efficacy of wound analgesia for controlling post-thoracotomy pain: a randomized double-blind study Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2016;49(1):339-47	participants' characteristicsinclusion criteria- age >18- ASA physical status I–IIIexclusion criteria- previous history of chronic pain- previous thoracic procedures- recurrent operations- neurological signs such as movementlimitation or cerebral confusion with inability tocomprehend or perform verbal and physicalinstructions- incision different from muscle-sparingthoracotomy- allergy to LAs or morphine- inclusion in other studies on pain managementdemographic data:group Wgroup Wgroup Wgroup W17 (63%)15 (53%)0.7age (yrs)63±1.562±7.10.8Charlson comorbidity index1.4±2.81.4±5.80.6clinical stagelalalala(30%)10 (37%)8 (29%)0.7FEV1%79.9±9.382.9±7.50.3FVC%83.1±6.586±5.10.1patient flow and follow up:total patient number included:60randomised in:	intervention group/ control group intervention prior to anaesthesia - not reported mode of anaesthesia - fentanyl surgical approach - lung resection via muscle-sparing thoracotomy without associated pleurectomy or chest wall resection supplemental analgesia - PCA morphine, initially 5 mg bolus, then 1.2 mg/h with 5–10 min lo - if VAS score was >4: additional dose of morphine or ketorolac (administered via intramuscular route at a dose of 15 mg every 6–8 h) postoperative analgesia - group W (wound infusion): continuous surgical wound site infusion of bupivacaine 10 mg then 2 mg/mL bupivacaine at a constant flow rate of 2 mL/h for 48 h - group C (control): saline solution delivered by a multiholed wound catheter	outcomes postoperative pain [VAS]: mean±SD VAS at rest group W group C 6 7.2±0.8 7.3±0.6 12 6.0±0.6 6.2±0.6 24 5.1±0.7 6.0±0.7 48 4.7±0.7 5.9±0.6 72 4.7±0.8 5.3±0.4 96 4.3±0.6 5.1±0.3 120 3.4±0.7 4.0±0.5 h group W group C VAS on coughing 6 7.5±0.6 6 7.5±0.6 7.6±0.4 12 6.8±0.9 6.9±0.6 24 6.5±0.8 6.6±0.7 48 5.9±0.7 6.3±0.7 72 5.5±0.5 5.5±0.5 120 4.0±0.6 4.2±0.4 requirement for additional analgesia [µg/mL]: mean±SD - group W compared with the group C required significantly less of additional morphine injection (µg/mL) (p=0.03) postop h group group C T1: 0-6 2.6±0.5 2.7±0.4 T2: 6-12 3.3±0.5 3.4±0.4 T3: 12-18 3.2±0.4	critical appraisal/ conclusion methodological shortcomings - no reported who generated the random sequence level of evidence: 1 authors' conclusion "Our data prove that wound analgesia is an effective, easy and safe procedure. It significantly reduces systemic inflammatory markers, pain scores and opioid intake; and accelerates the recovery of respiratory function."
	group W: 27 group C: 28 <u>excluded:</u> 5 <u>analysed:</u> 55		 a significant reduction of total ketorolac consumption was observed in group W compared with group C (14.5±15.8 vs 26.4±11.4; p=0.01) adverse effects/ events: none reported 	

reference	participants' characteristics	intervention group/ control group	outcomes	critical appraisal/ conclusion
	follow-up: 0 to 120 postop h			
Zhang et al. 2015 Comparison between intraoperative two-space injection thoracic paravertebral block and wound infiltration as a component of multimodal analgesia for postoperative pain management after video- assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy: A randomized controlled trial. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2015;29(6):1550- 6.	inclusion criteria - patients scheduled for VATS lobectomy - mentally conscious - ability to communicate exclusion criteria - patients unable to communicate - had a relevant drug allergy - analgesic drug intake within 1 month of the study demographic data: group P group I p age (yr) 55±9 57±7 0.284 BMI (kg/m2) 21.6±2.7 22.4±2.9 0.236 sex (m/f) 20/11 21/9 0.457 ASA grade(I/II) 7/24 11/19 0.228 duration of surgery (min) 86(74,118) 99(71,125) 0.385 Data are mean±SD, median (Q1, Q3), or n. There were no statistical differences between the groups regarding any of the reported parameters(p>0.05) patient flow and follow up: total patient number included: 70 randomised in: group P: 35 group P: 4 group P: 31 group	intervention prior to anaesthesia mode of anaesthesia - sufentanil surgical approach - VATS lobectomy supplemental analgesia - 2 patients in group I were given extraintramuscular meperidine in the wards for complaints of inadequate analgesia caused by frequent cough postoperative analgesia - group P (PVB): 8mL 0.5% ropivacaine at fourth and seventh intercostal spaces with a 2-mL increment - glacebo infiltration of wound with 40 mL normal saline - group I (infiltration): wound infiltration with 0.5% ropivacaine, to max volume 40 mL - placebo paravertebral block with 8 mL normal saline injected in the fourth and seventh intercostal spaces	postoperative pain [VAS - no significant difference found between groups for VAS pain scores at rest - pain scores on coughing were significantly lower at each time point in group P than in group I (p<0.05)	methodological shortcomings - study did not assess the sensory distribution of the PVB due to wish to maintain blinding of the investigator to the group allocation - the meperidine used was a violation of the study protocol. If the authors had not excluded the patients who received meperidine, the difference of morphine use between the 2 groups would have been greater. Ievel of evidence: 1 authors' conclusion "as a component of a multimodal analgesia regimen, PVB provided a superior analgesic effect when compared with local infiltration after VATS lobectomy in terms of a lower degree of pain on cough, less consumption of total morphine during the first postoperative 24 h, and improved overall patient satisfaction scores ofanalgesia."