Paravertebral block study details

reference	participants' characteristics	intervention group/ control group	outcomes	critical appraisal/ conclusion
Helms et al. 2011 Intra-operative paravertebral block for postoperative analgesia in thoracotomy patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2011 Oct;40(4):902-6.	inclusion criteria - age 18–80 yrs - scheduled for unilateral thoracotomy - a contraindication to TEA (including antiplatelet treatment, therapeutic anticoagulant treatment, hemostasis, and/or coagulation disorders, local or systemic infection, second- or third grade atrioventricular block without a pacemaker, severe aortic stenosis, severe scoliokyphosis, and known neuropathy - those who refused TEA exclusion criteria - difficulty in understanding the study protocol - pregnancy and breast-feeding - epilepsy - third-grade atrioventricular block without a pacemaker - severe hepatic insufficiency - anti-arrhythmic treatment - local infection at insertion site - allergy to local anaesthetics - surgical contraindications to catheter insertion demographic data: group R group C p group R group C p group R group C p 2.4840511 2.6840.504 0.481 length of surgery (min) 171.1728.711 172.634.827 0.530 ASA score 2.24840511 2.6840.504 0.481 length of surgery (min) 1993.83. 22047.3 0.151 patient flow and follow up: total patient number included: 47 randomised in: group R: 19 group C: 21 <u>excluded:</u> 7 <u>analysed:</u> 40 follow-up: 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h	intervention prior to anaesthesia - not reported mode of anaesthesia - not reported surgical approach - unilateral thoracotomy at the end of surgery - 1 g paracetamol and 20 mg nefopam 1 h before the end of surgery - 1 g paracetamol every 6 h and 100 mg nefopam every 24 h supplemental analgesia - IV PCA of morphine (1 mg morphine bolus, Io 7 min) + droperidol (0.05 mg/mL) and ketamine (1 mg/mL) postoperative analgesia - group R (ropivacaine): 0.5% ropivacaine as initial bolus of 0.1 mL/kg, then as a continuous 0.1 mL/kg/h infusion for 48 h postop - group C (control): same regimen as group R but with 0.9% saline	postoperative pain [VAS 0-10; mean]: (extrapolated from graph so approximate values) h group R group C at rest 1 2.85 2.65 3 2.60 1.90 6 6 1.95 1.75 12 1.85 1.90 24 2.05 2.00 36 2.4 1.60 48 1.90 1.35 0 0 0 0 coughing 1 4.25 3.30 3 4.80 3.90 6 4.05 3.55 12 4.10 4.30 36 5.05 4.50 36 5.05 4.50 4.80 36 5.00 4.80 36 5.00 4.30 - patients in group R and group C experienced similar pain scores at rest (p=0.380) and on coughing (p=0.433). time to first analgesic request [h]: mean±SD - not reported total dosage of morphine in 48 h [mg]: mean±SD group R group C 45.7±33.9 43.2±30.3 - - mean morphine consumption during the first 48 h postop was similar between group R and group C adverse effects/ events: (methodological shortcomings - not reported whether the sequence was adequately concealed until interventions were assigned - participant flow through each stage was not reported - method used to implement the random allocation sequence not reported - not reported how the sequence was concealed until interventions were assigned - not reported who generated the allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned the participants to their groups level of evidence: 1 authors' conclusion "Paravertebral block using a catheter placed by the thoracic surgeon was ineffective on postoperative pain after thoracotomy and did not confirm the analgesic effect that has been observed after percutaneous catheter placement."
Fibla et al. 2015 A randomized prospective study of analgesic quality after thoracotomy: paravertebral block with bolus versus continuous infusion with an elastomeric pump. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2015;47(4):631-5.	inclusion criteria - exclusion criteria - emergraphic data: mergene	intervention prior to anaesthesia mode of anaesthesia - fentanyl surgical approach - anterior thoracotomy (ANT) - posterolateral thoracotomy (POST) at the end of surgery - 20 mL bolus of 0.5% levobupicaine in all patients postoperative analgesia	 postoperative pain since no statistical differences were observed, it was not possible to confirm differences between the LA administered in a bolus versus continuous infusion rescue analgesia thirteen (16.2%) patients needed meperidine as rescue drug at some point (8 with continuous infusion and 5 with bolus) there were no differences in the requirements for rescue analgesia between the two groups (p=0.721) adverse effects/ events none reported 	methodological shortcomings - method used to implement the random allocation sequence not reported - not reported how the sequence was concealed until interventions were assigned - not reported who generated the allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned the participants to their groups - not reported whether the sequence was adequately concealed until interventions were assigned - number of participants (denominator) in each group included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by "intention to treat" not reported

reference	participants' characteristics	intervention group/ control group	outcomes	critical appraisal/ conclusion
	patient flow and follow up: total patient number included: 80 randomised in: ANT group B: 18 group CI: 18 POST group B: 22 group CI: 22 <u>excluded:</u> - patients with renal failure - allergy to levobupivacaine, metamizole or meperidine <u>analysed:</u> 80 follow-up: 1, 6, 24, 48, 72 h	 group bolus (B): bolus of 0.5% levobupivacaine given every 6 h group continuous infusion (Cl): 0.25% levobupivacaine given in continuous infusion at 5 mL/h through an elastomeric pump rescue analgesia IV metamizole every 6h SC meperidine 50 mg 		 participant flow through each stage was not reported level of evidence: 1 authors' conclusion "Since no statistical differences were observed between the treatment groups, it was not possible to confirm differences between the LA administered in a bolus versus continuous infusion."
Grider et al. 2012 A randomized, double-blind trial comparing continuous thoracic epidural bupivacaine with and without opioid in contrast to a continuous paravertebral infusion of bupivacaine for post- thoracotomy pain. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2012;26(1):83-9.	inclusion criteria - ASA physical status I–III - age <75 yrs - undergoing elective anterolateral thoracotomy by one of two cardiothoracic surgeons exclusion criteria - preoperative opioid use for more than one month before surgery demographic data: ************************************	mode of anaesthesia - fentanyl surgical approach - anterolateral thoracotomy at the end of surgery - intercostal nerve blocks above and below the surgical incision with single injections of 2 mL of 0.25% bupivacaine postoperative analgesia - group EBO (epidural bupivacaine + opioid): 0.25% bupivacaine e 0.01 mg/mL hydromorphone (basal 2 mL/h with 1 mL every 10 mins via PCA) - group EB (epidural bupivacaine alone): 0.25% bupivacaine (basal 2 mL/h with 1 mL every 10 mins via PCA) - group PB (paravertebral catheter with bupivacaine): 0.25% bupivacaine at 8 mL/h	postoperative pain [VAS]: mean±SD EB±0 EB PB PACU VAS (rest) 2:60.4* 2:90.5 2:40.5 VAS (rest) 2:60.5* 3:40.8 3:90.6 POD1 PM VAS (rest) 2:00.5* 3:40.4 3:80.4 VAS (rest) 2:00.5* 3:40.4 3:80.4 VAS (rest) 2:00.5* VAS (rest) 2:00.5* 3:40.4 3:80.4 VAS (rest) 2:30.4* 3:40.4 VAS (rest) 2:30.4* 3:40.4 3:60.5 POD2 PM VAS (rest) 2:00.4* 3:40.3 VAS (rest) 2:00.4* 3:40.3 3:60.6 VAS (rest) 2:00.4* 3:40.3 VAS (rest) 2:00.4* 3:40.3 3:60.6 VAS (rest) 2:10.4* 3:50.6 VAS (rest) 2:10.4* 3:50.6 3:60.5 POD3 M VAS (rest) 2:40.6* 2:80.3 VAS (rest) 2:40.4* 2:90.6 2:80.3 VAS (rest) 2:71.0.4* 2:90.6 2:80.3 VAS (rest) 2:72.04 2:90.5 3:30.3 VAS (rest) 2:72.0.4* 2:90.6 3:90.6 POD4 M VAS (rest) 2:72.0.4* 2:90.5 3:20.3 VAS (rest) 2:72.0.4*	methodological shortcomings - relatively small numbers of subjects in each group - the number of data points absent because of the inability to obtain VAS and IS on patients requiring ventilator support - relatively small numbers of subjects in each group - the number of data points absent because of the inability to obtain VAS and IS on patients requiring ventilator support - not reported who generated the allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned the participants to their groups - method used to implement the random allocation sequence not reported - not reported whether the sequence was adequately concealed until interventions were assigned - method used to generate the random allocation sequence not reported - not reported how the sequence was concealed until interventions were assigned - not reported - ates defining the period of recruitment and follow-up not reported - important adverse events or side effects not reported level of evidence: 1 authors' conclusion

reference	participants' characteristics	intervention group/ control group	outcomes	critical appraisal/ conclusion
	group EBO: 24 group EB: 22		postoperative pulmonary function (no of subjects obtaining incentive spirometry (IS) volume >2 L)	"The current study provided data that fill gaps in the current literature in 3 important areas.
	group PB: 23 <u>follow-up:</u> - in the PACU before discharge, the evening of POD1, and each morning and evening of POD2 through to POD4. - 12 months post op		EB20 EB PB Placp 25/25 25/25 SS-21 25/25 25/25 POD 24/24 12/21 17/23 POD I PM 20/24 12/21 18/23 POD Z PM 19/24 11/21 18/23 POD Z PM S 20/24 12/21 IS 20/24 12/21 18/23 POD Z PM S 22/24 11/21 IS 21/24 11/21 18/23 POD A PM S 22/24 15/21 IS 23/24 19/21 20/23 adverse effects/ events: - not reported	 TEA with bupivacaine and a hydrophilic opioid may provide enhanced analgesia over TEA or continuous paravertebral infusion (CPI) with bupivacaine alone. In group EB, the increased basal rates required to achieve analgesia resulted in hypotension more frequently than in the bupivacaine/hydromorphone combination group, underscoring the benefit of the synergistic activity. The current data suggest that CPI of local anaesthetic appears to provide acceptable analgesia for post-thoracotomy pain"