A procedure-specific systematic review and consensus recommendations for postoperative analgesia following total hip arthroplasty. Fischer and Simanski on behalf of the PROSPECT Working Group. *Anaesthesia* 2005:60:1189–1202.

Abstract

Total hip replacement is a major surgical procedure usually associated with significant pain in the early postoperative period. Several anaesthetic and analgesic techniques are in common clinical use for this procedure but, to date, clinical studies of pain after total hip replacement have not been systematically assessed. Using the Cochrane protocol, we have conducted a systematic review of analgesic, anaesthetic and surgical interventions affecting postoperative pain after total hip replacement. In addition to the review, transferable evidence from other relevant procedures and clinical practice observations collated by the Delphi method were used to develop evidence-based recommendations for the treatment of postoperative pain. For primary total hip replacement, PROSPECT recommends either general anaesthesia combined with a peripheral nerve block that is continued after surgery or an intrathecal (spinal) injection of local anaesthetic and opioid. The primary analgesic technique should be combined with a step-down approach using paracetamol plus conventional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, with strong or weak opioids as required.

Qualitative record of analgesic trials in total hip arthroplasty

Study ID	Quality Grade	N treat/	Drug, dose, route and timing	Postoperative	Time to first	Use of supplemental analgesic
	Score	control		pain scores	analgesic	
					request	
Non-steroidal ar	nti-inflammato	ry drugs (NSAIDs), placebo-controlled	•		•
Dahl V 1995 ¹	B/4	48/48/25	When spinal anaesthesia about to wear off,	I superior to placebo at	Not reported	I superior to control (p<0.001)
			oral ibuprofen 800 mg (I) vs. ibuprofen 800 mg	4 h (p<0.001); NS at all		
			and oral codeine 60 mg (IC) <i>vs</i> . placebo	other times (p≥0.05)		
Fletcher D 1995 ²	B/4	20/20/20	Before induction, ketorolac 60 mg IV; at skin	On arrival in recovery	Not reported	Cumulative dose: PRE superior
			closure, normal saline 2 mL IV (PRE) vs. before	room, PRE superior to		to control for titration dose in
			induction, normal saline 2 mL IV; at skin closure,	placebo at rest		recovery room (p<0.001) and until
			ketorolac 60 mg IV (POST) vs. before induction,	(p=0.0003) and		6 h (p<0.0125). POST vs.
			normal saline 2 mL IV; at skin closure, normal	movement (p=0.0002);		placebo NS at all times (p≥0.05)
			saline 2 mL IV (placebo)	NS over 48 h (p≥0.05).		
				On arrival in recovery		
				room, POST superior		
				to control (p=0.01); NS		
				over 48 h		

Fogarty DJ 1995 ³	B/4	30/30	IM ketorolac 30 mg vs. saline placebo, given after	Ketorolac superior to	NS	Ketorolac superior to placebo for
			induction of spinal anaesthesia, then at three 6 h	placebo at 10 h &		morphine use per h (p<0.03) and
			intervals	morning after surgery		cumulative use for 18 h (p<0.02)
				(p<0.05); NS at all		
				other times		
Iohom G 2002 ⁴	B/3	15/15	Oral dexketoprofen 25 mg three times a day (D)	Treatment superior to	Treatment	Treatment superior to control at 6
			<i>vs.</i> same regimen saline (placebo), for 24 h	control at 15 h	superior to	& 48 h (p<0.05)
			before and 48 h after surgery	(p<0.05); NS at all	control	
				other times (p≥0.05)	(p=0.03)	
Laitinen J 1992 ⁵	B/3	18/20	IV diclofenac 75 mg loading dose over 60 min	Treatment superior to	Not reported	Treatment superior to control
			immediately after surgery, then IV diclofenac	control at 16 h		(p<0.01)
			5 mg/h infusion over 15 h <i>vs.</i> same regimen	(p<0.05); NS at all		
			saline placebo	other times (p≥0.05)		
Segstro R 1991 ⁶	A/4	25/22	At end of surgery and every 8 h thereafter, rectal	Treatment superior to	Not reported	Treatment superior to control
			indomethacin 100 mg <i>vs.</i> placebo	control at 20, 28 and		(p<0.01)
				42 h (p<0.05)		
Serpell MG 1989 ⁷	B/3	12/12	Oral piroxicam 40 mg on evening of day before	NS (stats not done)	Not reported	Treatment superior to control
			surgery & on evening of day of surgery, & oral			(p<0.002)
			piroxicam 20 mg on evening of day after surgery			
			<i>vs.</i> placebo capsules at the same times			

Bugter MLT 2003 ⁸	B/3	17/19	All patients were pretreated during a two-week	At rest: NS between	Not reported	PCA morphine NS between
			period before surgery, either with ibuprofen	groups in 1st 24 h		groups
			600 mg or placebo			
Non-steroidal an	ti-inflammato	ry drugs (NSAIDs), other		L	
Fletcher D 1995 ²	B/4	20/20/20	Before induction, IV ketorolac 60 mg; at skin	On arrival in recovery	Not reported F	PRE superior to POST for titration
			closure, IV saline 2 mL (PRE) <i>vs.</i> before	room, PRE superior to	c	lose in recovery room (p<0.001),
			induction, IV saline 2 mL; at skin closure, IV	POST at rest (p=0.03)	ι	ıntil 6 h (p<0.0125)
			ketorolac 60 mg (POST) vs. before induction, IV	and on movement		
			saline 2 mL; at skin closure, IV saline 2 mL	(p=0.0002); NS at all		
				other times over 48 h		
				(p≥0.05)		

Kostamovaara PA 1998 ⁹	B/3	28/28/29	IV ketorolac 30 mg loading dose then IV ketorolac 90 mg infusion <i>vs.</i> IV diclofenac 75 mg loading dose then IV diclofenac 75 mg infusion <i>vs.</i> IV ketoprofen 100 mg loading dose then IV ketoprofen 100 mg infusion; all started in recovery room for 11 h	All outcomes at rest: NS (p≥0.05)	Not reported	NS (p≥0.05)
COX-2 inhibitors						
Camu F 2002 ¹⁰	B/3	73/73/71	Valdecoxib 20 mg (V20) vs. valdecoxib 40 mg	V20 and V40 superior	Not	V20 and V40 superior to control
			(V40) vs. placebo. All given orally 1–3 h before	to placebo at 4 h	reported	(p<0.001). NS for dose comparison
			surgery and 12, 24 and 36 h after first dose	(p<0.01), 6, 12 & 18 h		(p>0.3)
				(p<0.05). NS at all		
				other times and NS fo	r	
				dose comparison		
				(p≥0.05)		
Strong opioid, pla	acebo-contro	olled			-	
O'Sullivan G 1983 ¹¹	B/3	19/19/18/18	3 Sublingual buprenorphine 0.4 mg 2 h before	NS (p≥0.05)	Not	Not reported
			surgery vs. IM buprenorphine 0.3 mg 1 h before		reported	
			surgery vs. IM morphine sulphate 10 mg 1 h			
			before surgery vs. matching placebo regimen			
			(sublinguinal or IM placebo given to each group	to		
			maintain blinding)			

Strong opioid, other						
Bourke M 2000 ¹²	B/2	19/20	Oral morphine sulphate sustained-release tablet	NS at rest & on	Not	MIM superior to MSRT at 36 h
			20 mg with premedication and every 12 h thereafter	movement:	reported	(p=0.03); NS at all other times
			for 48 h (MSRT) vs. IM morphine 10 mg after	(p≥0.05)		(p≥0.05)
			regression of spinal motor block and at 6 h intervals			
			thereafter (MIM) (corresponding IM and oral			
			placebo doses given to maintain blinding)			
Fee JPH 1989 ¹³	B/3	40/40	IM nalbuphine 0.3 mg/kg <i>vs.</i> IM morphine	Morphine superior	Not	Morphine superior to nalbuphine
			0.15 mg/kg, each given 1 h before surgery, as soon	to nalbuphine at 2 &	reported	(p<0.05)
			as requested after surgery and 3 h later if required	4 h (p<0.02)		
Frater RAS 1989 ¹⁴	B/3	26/23	After surgery, meptazinol 20 mg boluses on	Morphine superior	Not	Not reported
			demand via PCA device (10-min lockout; max. dose	to meptazinol at 8 h	reported	
			120 mg/h) vs. morphine 2 mg boluses on demand	(p<0.05); NS at all		
			via PCA device (10-min lockout; max. dose	other times		
			12 mg/h)			
Keita H 2003 ¹⁵	A/2	20/20	Postoperative IV PCA morphine 1 mg with a lockout	VAS scores on rest	Not	Postop morphine consumption NS
			period of 8 min (PCA group) vs. SC morphine	and on movement	reported	between groups
			0.1 mg/kg every 4 h or earlier if VAS≥30 (SC group)	sig. lower in PCA		
				group at all times		
				(24 and 48 h)		

McCormack JP 1993 ¹⁶	B/4	23/24	Postoperatively, oral morphine 20 mg (5 mg/mL)	Oral regular	Not	Not reported
			with 5 mg increments every 4 h and rescue of	superior to IM on	reported	
			10 mg oral morphine; (oral regular) vs. IM morphine	demand for		
			5–10 mg on demand (IM on demand); both groups	average scores on		
			received corresponding oral or IM placebo doses	day 1 and 2		
				(p<0.05)		
Robinson SL 1991 ¹⁷	B/3	16/20	After surgery, morphine 2 mg on demand via PCA	NS (p=0.74)	Not	Number of PCA demands:
			device vs. diamorphine 1 mg on demand via PCA		reported	diamorphine superior to morphine
			device			(p=0.004)
Weak opioids				•	l	
Stubhaug A 1995 ¹⁸	B/4	33/35/36/3	Oral tramadol 50 mg (T50) vs. oral tramadol 100	PC superior to T50,	PC	PC superior to T50 (p=0.002), T100
		3	mg (T100) <i>vs.</i> oral paracetamol 1000 mg plus	T100 and placebo	superior to	(p=0.009) and placebo (p=0.0002),
			codeine 60 mg (PC) vs. matching regimen of	at 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 h	Т50	for reducing the proportion of
			placebo tablets, all given on day after surgery,	(p<0.05); NS for	(p=0.03),	patients requiring rescue analgesia
			(same number of tablets/capsules administered to	T50 and T100 <i>vs</i> .	T100	
			each group – made up with placebos)	placebo	(p=0.005)	
					and	
					placebo	
					(p=0.004)	
Dahl V 1995 ¹	B/4	48/48/25	When spinal anaesthesia about to wear off, oral	NS for I <i>vs</i> . IC	Not	NS for I <i>vs</i> . IC (p≥0.05). IC superior
			ibuprofen 800 mg (I) <i>vs.</i> oral ibuprofen 800 mg	(p≥0.05). IC	reported	to placebo for 0–5 h
			plus oral codeine 60 mg (IC) vs. placebo	superior to placebo		

				at 4 h		
Paracetamol	I			1	1	
Peduto VA 1998 ¹⁹	B/3	42/47	After extubation, four doses of propacetamol 2 g at 6 h intervals via IV drip infusion (dextrose 5%,	NS (p≥0.05)	Not reported	Treatment superior to control (p<0.001)
Stubhaug A 1995 ¹⁸	B/4	33/35/36/3	Oral tramadol 50 mg (T50) <i>vs.</i> oral tramadol 100 mg (T100) <i>vs.</i> oral paracetamol 1000 mg plus codeine 60 mg (PC) <i>vs.</i> matching regimen of placebo tablets, all given on day after surgery, (same number of tablets/capsules administered to each group – made up with placebos)	PC superior to T50, T100 and placebo at 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 h (p<0.05) ; NS for T50 and T100 <i>vs</i> . placebo	PC superior to T50 (p=0.03), T100 (p=0.005) and placebo	PC superior to T50 (p=0.002), T100 (p=0.009) and placebo (p=0.0002), for reducing the proportion of patients requiring rescue analgesia
Other systemic mea	lication				(p=0.004)	
Kandler D 1993 ²⁰	B/3	17/23	IV metoclopramide 1 mg/kg in saline 100 mL bolus over 15 min, then IV metoclopramide 1.5 mg/kg in saline 150 mL infusion over the following 9 h <i>vs.</i> same placebo regimen, given after spinal block but before surgery	NS (p≥0.05)	Treatment superior to control (p<0.05)	Treatment superior to control (p<0.05)

IV = intravenous; IM = intramuscular; SC = subcutaneous; PCA = patient controlled; p < 0.05 = significant difference in favour of treatment versus control;

NS = no significant difference between groups ($p \ge 0.05$)

Peripheral neural block							
Study ID	Quality Grade Score	N treat/ control	Drug, dose, route and timing	VAS scores/Type of pain, where specified	Time to first analgesic	Use of supplemental analgesic	
Biboulet P 2004 ²¹		16/15/14	Femoral nerve block following Winnie's landmarks (FNB) <i>vs.</i> posterior lumbar plexus (PCB), each at the end of surgery before patient wakes using 2 mg/kg 0.375% bupivacaine and 2 µg/kg clonidine <i>vs.</i> no block . All patients received: general anaesthesia, 2 mg/5 min morphine in PACU if VAS >30 mm, morphine PCA 1 mg with 10-min lockout, proparacetamol 2 g/6 h IV and indomethacin 50 mg rectally at end of surgery and then 25 mg orally every 12 h	At rest: PCB superior to FNB and to no block at 0 and 4 h (p=0.001). FNB <i>vs.</i> placebo: NS On mobilisation: NS	Not reported	PCB superior to FNB and to no block for morphine use for 0–4 h (p<0.002). FNB <i>vs</i> . placebo NS	
Fournier R 1998 ²²	B/4	20/20	'3-in-1' femoral nerve block following Winnie's landmarks with nerve stimulation (40 mL bupivacaine 0.5% with epinephrine 1:200,000) <i>vs.</i> sham femoral nerve block	NS (p≥0.05)	Block superior to control (p< 0.05)	NS (p≥0.05)	
Stevens RD 2000 ²³	B/4	30/30	Posterior lumbar plexus block using nerve stimulation (0.5% bupivacaine 0.4 mL/kg with epinephrine 1/200,000) <i>vs.</i> needle perforation of	At rest: block superior to control in PACU for 0-60	Not reported	Block superior to control for morphine 0–6 h (p<0.0001) and 0–12 h (p<0.05)	

			lumbar skin	min (p<0.001) and		
				at (p=0.007)		
Singelyn FJ 2001 ²⁴	B/3	15/15/15	Extended femoral nerve sheath block with	At rest: NS (p≥0.05)	Not	NS (p≥0.05)
			continuous infusion of 0.125% bupivacaine with	On movement: NS	reported	
			clonidine 1 μ g/mL and sufentanil 0.1 μ g/mL at	(p≥0.05)		
			10 mL/h vs. 10 mL boluses of 0.125% bupivacaine			
			with clonidine 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and sufentanil 0.1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ via			
			PCA device with 60-min lockout vs. 5 mL boluses of			
			0.125% bupivacaine with clonidine 1 μ g/mL and			
			sufentanil 0.1 μg/mL via PCA device			

PCA = patient controlled; PACU = postanaesthesia care unit; p < 0.05 = significant difference in favour of treatment versus control; NS = no significant difference between treatment and control ($p \ge 0.05$)

Epidural analgesia	1					
Study ID	Quality Grade Score	N treat/ control	Drug, dose, route and timing	VAS scores/Type of pain, where specified	Time to first analgesic request	Use of supplemental analgesic
Epidural anaesthes	ia and analg	<i>esia</i> vs. g	eneral anaesthesia and systemic analgesia	l	1	
Wulf H 1999 ²⁵	B/2	46/44	Epd anaesthesia then, when regression of motor	At rest: epd	Not	Greater proportion of patients
			block obvious, continuous epd infusion of	superior to GA	reported	required rescue morphine in the GA
			ropivacaine 2 mg/mL at 4–6 mL/h (8–12 mg/h) plus	during 24 h (area		group (9%) compared with the
			ropivacaine 6 mL top-up doses on demand with	under the curve,		epidural (67%), no p values
			≥30 mins between top-ups, for 24 h, then	p=0.007) and a		
			ropivacaine 20 mg top-up doses at investigator's	greater proportion		
			discretion for 24 h (epd) vs. general anaesthesia	of patients in the		
			(thiopental/fentanyl then isoflurane/enflurane,	GA had VAS score		
			fentanyl, nitrous oxide/oxygen) then in PACU,	>30 mm (p<0.05).		
			morphine 10 mg max. IV loading dose followed by	On mobilisation:		
			morphine 1.0–1.5 mg IV boluses on demand via	scores with GA		
			PCA device with 5-min lockout, for 48 h (GA)	higher than with		
				epd but NS. After		
				epd stopped: NS		

Moiniche S 1994 ²⁶	B/2	10/10	General anaesthesia (midazolam, fentanyl then	During 48 h: epd	Not	NS (assessed when epd stopped
	,		nitrous oxide, fentanyl and midazolam), then	superior to GA (at	reported	p=0.31
			conventional analgesia with IV morphine 5 mg. IM	rest p=0 001		
			morphine 0.125 mg/kg and arel apotaminophen on	during floxion		
			morphine 0.125 mg/kg and oral acetaminophen on	during nexion		
			demand (GA) vs. epd anaesthesia, then continuous	p=0.0002, during		
			epd infusion of bupivacaine 0.625 mg/mL plus	walk p=0.01). When		
			morphine 0.05 mg/mL at 4 mL/h for 48 h	epd stopped: epd		
			postoperatively, plus oral piroxicam 40 mg on	superior to GA		
			evening before surgery and 1 h before surgery, then	during flexion		
			oral piroxicam 20 mg/day for 7 days (epd)	(p=0.02) but NS at		
				rest (p=0.44) &		
				during walk (p =0.7)		
Epidural analgesia v	rs. systemic	analgesia	1			
Gustafsson LL 1986 ²⁷	B/2	07/07/07	After surgery when severe pain experienced, one	Epd60 superior to	NS	NS (p≥0.05)
			dose administered: IM pethidine hydrochloride	IM at 0.5 and 1 h	(p≥0.05)	
			1 mg/kg in saline 0.02 mL/kg (IM) vs. epidural	after dose (p<0.05),		
			pethidine hydrochloride 20 mg in 0.9% saline 10 mL	but NS for 2, 3 and		
			(Epd20) vs. epidural pethidine hydrochloride 60 mg	4 h. Epd20 <i>vs.</i> IM:		
			in 0.9% saline 10 mL (Epd60) (each group had	NS (p≥0.05). Epd20		
			corresponding IM or epd saline regimen)	<i>vs</i> . Epd60: NS		

Epidural local anaes	thetic vs.	epidural wi	thout local anaesthetic			
Carabine UA 1992 ²⁸	B/4	30/30/30	~30 min before end of surgery, epidural	CB superior to B at	СВ	CB superior to B (p<0.05); C
			clonidine 150 µg (1 mL) diluted to 10 mL in 0.9%	30 and 60 min	superior	superior to B (p<0.05); CB <i>vs.</i> C NS
			saline (C) vs. epidural 10 mL plain bupivacaine	(p<0.05); CB	to C	
			0.25% (B) <i>vs.</i> epidural clonidine 150 μg (1 mL) in	superior to C at 60	(p<0.05);	
			9 mL plain bupivacaine 0.25% (CB)	min (p<0.05). C	CB and C	
				superior to B at 60	superior to	
				min (p<0.05)	B (p<0.05)	
Kostamovaara PA	B/4	20/19	In PACU, fentanyl 10 μg/mL plus ropivacaine	At rest & on	Not	Not reported
2001 ²⁹			1 mg/mL as 3 mL/h continuous infusion plus 3 mL	movement: NS	reported	
			boluses on demand via PCEA device (FR) vs.	(p≥0.05)		
			fentanyl 10 μ g/mL as 3 mL/h continuous infusion			
			plus 3 mL boluses on demand via PCEA device (F)			
Milligan KR 2000 ³⁰	B/4	27/30/29	Levobupivacaine 0.125% epd infusion at 6 mL/h for	On movement: NS	LC	LC superior to L and C (p<0.01);
			24 h (L) <i>vs.</i> levobupivacaine 0.125% plus	for all comparisons	superior to	and C superior to L (p<0.05), for
			clonidine 8.3 μg/mL epd infusion at 6 mL/h for	(p≥0.05)	L (p<0.01),	total morphine use
			24 h (LC) vs. clonidine 8.3 μg/mL epd infusion at		but not C.	
			6 mL/h for 24 h (C)		C superior	
					to L	
					(p<0.05)	

Bertini L 2001 ³¹ I	B/3 26/25	After surgery, ropivacaine 2 mg/ml, continuous end			
		Anter surgery, represente 2 mg/m2 continuous epu	At rest and during	Not	NS (p≥0.05)
		infusion at 6 mL/h and ropivacaine 4 mg boluses on	physio: NS (p≥0.05)	reported	
		demand via PCEA (R) vs. bupivacaine 2 mg/mL			
		continuous epd infusion at 6 mL/h and bupivacaine			
		4 mg boluses on demand via PCEA (B)			
Casati A 2003 ³²	A/4 15/15/15	Levobupivacaine (L) vs.bupivacaine (B) (both given	NS at 0, 6 and 12 h	Not	NS between groups
		intra-op in 10 mL at 0.5% and postop 0.125% set at	postop	reported	
		5 mL/h, with 2 mL incremental boluses and a			
		lockout time of 20 min) vs. ropivacaine (R) (given			
		intra-op at 0.5% and postop analgesia with PCEA			
		epidural infusion of ropivacaine 0.2% set at 5 mL/h,			
		with 2 mL incremental boluses and a lockout time of			
		20 min)			
Strong opioid vs. differen	t type or dose o	f strong opioid	I	I	
Berti M 1998 ³³ I	B/3 15/15	Immediately after surgery, 5 mL epd bolus of	NS (p≥0.05)	Not	NS (p≥0.05)
		morphine 0.05 mg/mL in bupivacaine 0.125%, then		reported	
		continuous epd infusion of same solution at 4 mL/h			
		for 24 h (M) vs. 5 mL epd bolus of fentanyl			
		0.005 mg/mL in bupivacaine 0.125%, then			
		continuous epd infusion of same solution at 4 mL/h			
		for 24 h (F)			

Kampe S 2003 ³⁴	A/3	11/10/11	Continuous epd infusion (started postop) with	At rest and on	Not	IV PCA use NS between groups
			ropivacaine 0.1% combined with: sufentanil	movement: NS	reported	
			0.5 μg/mL (S0.5) <i>vs</i> . sufentanil 0.75 μg/mL (S0.75)	between groups		
			<i>vs.</i> sufentanil 1 μg/mL (S1)			
Clonidine vs. LA, sti	rong opioid	or combina	ation		l	
Carabine UA 1992 ²⁸	B/4	30/30/30	~30 min before end of surgery, epidural clonidine	CB superior to B at	СВ	CB superior to B (p<0.05); C
			150 μg (1 mL) diluted to 10 mL in 0.9% saline (C)	30 and 60 min	superior to	superior to B (p<0.05); CB <i>vs</i> . C NS
			vs. epidural 10 mL plain bupivacaine 0.25% (B) vs.	(p<0.05); CB	C (p<0.05);	
			epidural clonidine 150 μg (1 mL) in 9 mL plain	superior to C at 60	CB and C	
			bupivacaine 0.25% (CB)	min (p<0.05). C	superior to	
				superior to B at 60	B (p<0.05)	
				min (p<0.05)		
Carabine UA 1992 ³⁵	B/3	20/20/20/20	~30 min before end of surgery, epidural clonidine	CM, C25 and C50	CM and	CM superior to C25 and M
			150 μ g (1 mL) diluted to 10 mL in 0.9% saline, then	superior to M at 30	C50 both	(p<0.05); C50 superior to C25
			epidural clonidine 25 μg/mL infusion at 1 mL/h for	& 60 min (p<0.05)	superior to	(p<0.05); C25 <i>vs.</i> M: NS; CM <i>vs.</i>
			24 h (C25) <i>vs.</i> epidural clonidine 150 μg (1 mL)	CM <i>vs</i> . C25 <i>vs.</i>	M and C25	C50: NS
			diluted to 10 mL in 0.9% saline, then epidural	C50: NS	groups	
			clonidine 50 μ g/mL infusion at 1 mL/h for 24 h (C50)		(p<0.05)	
			vs. epidural morphine 1 mg diluted to 10 mL in			
			0.9% saline, then epidural morphine 0.1 mg/mL			
			infusion at 1 mL/h for 24 h (M) vs. epidural clonidine			
			150 μg (1 mL) plus morphine 1 mg diluted to 10 mL			
			in 0.9% saline, then epidural morphine 0.1 mg/mL			
	-					

			infusion at 1 mL/h for 24 h (CM)			
Milligan KR 2000 ³⁰	B/4	27/30/29	Levobupivacaine 0.125% epd infusion at 6 mL/h for	On movement: NS	LC superior	LC superior to L and C (p<0.01);
			24 h (L) <i>vs.</i> levobupivacaine 0.125% plus clonidine	for all comparisons	to L	and C superior to L (p<0.05), for
			8.3 μg/mL epd infusion at 6 mL/h for 24 h (LC) vs.	(p≥0.05)	(p<0.01),	total morphine use
			clonidine 8.3 μ g/mL epd infusion at 6 mL/h for 24 h		but not C.	
			(C)		C superior	
					to L	
					(p<0.05)	

IV = intravenous; IM = intramuscular; epd = epidural; exd = extradural; PCA = patient controlled; PCEA = patient controlled epidural analgesia; PACU =

postanaesthesia care unit; p<0.05 = significant difference in favour of treatment vs. control; NS = no significant difference between treatment and

control (*p*≥0.05)

Spinal anaesthesia and a	analgesia					
Study ID	Quality Grade Score	N treat/ control	Drug, dose, route and timing	VAS scores/Type of pain, where specified	Time to first analgesic	Use of supplemental analgesic
Cainal ta chairmanna diffar					request	
Spinai tecnnique vs. differ	ent tecnniqu	е				
Maurer K 2003 ³⁶	A/3	31/34	Continuous IT anaesthesia with 15 mg isobaric	Pain scores sig	Not	Not reported
			bupivacaine 5 mg/mL (1 mL) and postoperative	lower 3–24 h in the	reported	
			analgesia with IT isobaric bupivacaine 2.5–5 mg/h	(CS) group		
			(CS) vs. single-shot IT anaesthesia consisting of	(p<0.05)		
			15 mg isobaric bupivacaine 5 mg/mL (3 mL) and			
			postoperative IV PCA morphine 3 mg bolus and			
			5-min lockout (IV)			
Möllmann M 1999 ³⁷	B/3	51/51	Continuous IT anaesthesia, then bupivacaine	At rest: IT superior	Not	IT superior to epd (p<0.05)
			0.25% 1 mL bolus followed by bupivacaine 0.25%	to epd (p<0.05) for	reported	
			10 mL continuous infusion over 24 h (IT) vs.	6–57 h		
			continuous epd anaesthesia, then bupivacaine	After movement: IT		
			0.25% 10 mL bolus followed by bupivacaine 0.25%	superior to epd		
			2 mL/h continuous infusion (epd)	(p<0.05)		

Souron V 2003 ³⁸	A/2	27/26	IT morphine 0.1 mg admin over 15 s (IT) vs. psoas	Sig lower in IT at	Not	Morphine consumption
			compartment block with 25 mL ropivacaine 0.475%	30 min, 90 min, 6 h,	reported	lower in IT in PACU, during
			(PCB); each administered 30 min before general	12 h and 18 h		24 h to 48 h (p<0.05)
			anaesthesia	(p<0.05). NS at		
				60 min, 120 min,		
				24 and 48 h		
Spinal local anaesthetic plu	is strong o	pioid vs. s	pinal local anaesthetic alone	•		1
Fernandez-Galinski D 1996 ³⁹	B/2	11/11	Hyperbaric bupivacaine 12.5 mg plus fentanyl 25 µg	BF superior to B	Not	Not reported for hip
			in a final volume of 3.5 mL (BF) vs. hyperbaric	(p<0.05)	reported for	
			bupivacaine 12.5 mg plus saline in a final volume of		hip	
			3.5 mL (B)			
Fogarty DJ 1993 ⁴⁰	B/3	30/30/30	IT anaesthesia with 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5%	BM superior to BC	BM	BM superior to B (p<0.05).
			over 10 s then morphine 1 mg diluted to 1 mL with	4, 6, 8 & 10 h (4, 8	superior to	BC <i>vs.</i> B: NS
			saline 0.9% (BM) vs. 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine	& 10 h postop,	B (p<0.05).	
			0.5% over 10 s then clonidine 75–100 μg	p<0.01; 6 h postop,	вс	
			(depending on weight) diluted to 1 mL with saline	p<0.001); BM	superior to	
			0.9% (BC) vs. 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5% over	superior to B at 4,	B (p<0.05)	
			10 s then 1 mL saline 0.9% (B)	6, 8 and 10 h		
				(p<0.05); B superior		
				to BC and BM at		
				24 h (p<0.05).		

Grace D 1994 ⁴¹	B/3	30/30/30	Pethidine 0.75 mg/kg and clonidine 75 μ g (0.5 mL)	At rest: BM superior	BM	BM superior to B (p<0.001)
			made up to 3.75 mL with 0.9% sodium chloride	to B 2 h (p=0.04),	superior to	
			(PC) vs. 0.5% isobaric bupivacaine 13.75 mg (2.75	4 h (p<0.018) & 6 h	В	
			mL), then morphine sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25 mL) and	(p<0.02) postop;	(p<0.001).	
			0.9% sodium chloride (0.75 mL) (BM) <i>vs.</i> 0.5%	NS 10 h postop		
			isobaric bupivacaine (B)	(p≥0.05)		
Grace D 1995 ⁴²	B/3	30/30/30	Morphine sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25 mL) plus clonidine	At rest: BM superior	BM	BM superior to B (p<0.001).
			hydrochloride 75 μg (0.5 mL) (BMC) <i>vs.</i> morphine	to B at 2 h (p<0.04)	superior to	BMC superior to B
			sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25 mL) (BM) vs. 0.9% sodium	& 4 h (p<0.001)	В	(p<0.001)
			chloride (1 ml) (B); all groups received 0.5%	postop; NS at all	(p<0.001).	
			isobaric bupivacaine 13.75 mg (2.75 mL)	other times	BMC	
				(p≥0.05).	superior to	
				BMC superior to B	В	
				at 2 h (p<0.04), 4 h	(p<0.001)	
				(p<0.001), 6 h		
				(p<0.002) & 24 h		
				(p<0.009) postop		
Milligan KR 1993 ⁴³	B/4	30/30	1 mL diamorphine (0.75–1 mg, depending on	BD superior to B	BD	BD superior to B (p<0.001)
			weight) (BD) <i>vs.</i> 1 mL saline (B); both groups	6 h (p<0.001), 8 h	superior to	
			received 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5% over 10 s	(p<0.01), 10 h	В	
				(p<0.05) & 12 h	(p<0.001)	
				(p<0.01) postop;		
				NS at all other		

				times		
Murphy PM 200344	B/2	20/20/20/2	IT anaesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine 15 mg	Pain scores sig	Sig longer	Mean suppl morphine
		0	+ IT morphine 50 μg <i>vs.</i> IT anaesthesia with	lower in 100 and	in 100 and	consumption over 1st 24 h
			bupivacaine 15 mg + IT morphine 100 µg <i>vs.</i> IT	200 µg morphine	200 µg	sig lower in 100 and 200 µg
			anaesthesia with bupivacaine 15 mg + IT morphine	groups <i>vs.</i> 50 µg	morphine	morphine groups <i>vs.</i> 50 µg
			200 µg vs. IT anaesthesia with bupivacaine 15 mg	and control group	groups <i>vs.</i>	and control group (p<0.05).
			(control)	(p<0.05). 100 <i>vs.</i>	50 µg and	100 <i>vs.</i> IT 200 µg group: NS
				200 µg group: NS	control	
				at all time points	group	
					(p<0.05).	
					100 <i>vs.</i> IT	
					200 µg	
					group: NS	
Spinal local anaesthetic co	mparison		1		1	
Glaser C 2002 ⁴⁵	A/4	40/40	Isobaric levobupivacaine 0.5% 3.5 mL vs. isobaric	NS (p≥0.05)	Not	Similar (stats not done)
			bupivacaine 0.5% 3.5 mL; both single shot for		reported	
			anaesthesia			
Spinal strong opioid compa	arison			I		<u> </u>

Fournier R 2000 ⁴⁶	B/4	12/12	When postop VAS pain score >3 cm, IT nalbuphine	N superior to M 5–	M superior	M superior to N (p<0.001)
			400 μg in 4 mL normal saline over 1 min (N) <i>vs.</i> IT	15 min after IT	to N	
			morphine 160 μ g in 4 mL normal saline over 1 min	injection (p<0.05);	(p<0.05)	
			(M)	NS at all other		
				times (p> 0.05)		
Fournier R 2000 ⁴⁷	B/4	21/21	When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT fentanyl	NS (p> 0.05)	NS (p>	NS (p> 0.05)
			40 μg in 2 mL normal saline over 30 s (F) <i>vs.</i> IT		0.05)	
			sufentanil 7.5 μ g in 2 mL normal saline over 30 s			
			(S)			
Fogarty DJ 1995 ⁴⁸	B/4	30/30	2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5% over 10 s, then	2, 6, 8, 10, 12 h	NS	BM superior to BD (p<0.05)
			morphine 1 mg (made up to 1 mL in normal saline)	postop: NS	(p≥0.05)	
			(BM) vs. 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5% over 10 s,	4 h postop: BM		
			then diamorphine 0.75 mg (made up to 1 mL in	superior to BD		
			normal saline) (BD)	(p<0.01). 24 h		
				postop: BD superior		
				to BM (p<0.05)		
Grace D 1996 ⁴⁹	B/4	25/25/25	0.5% plain bupivacaine 13.75 mg, then morphine	At rest & on	NS	NS (p≥0.05)
			sulphate 500 μg (0.25 mL) and 0.9% sodium	movement: NS	(p≥0.05)	
			chloride 0.75 mL (M) <i>vs</i> . 0.5% plain bupivacaine	(p≥0.05)		
			13.75 mg, then morphine-6-glucuronide 100 μ g			
			(0.8 mL) (M6G100) and 0.9% sodium chloride			
			(0.2 mL) vs. 0.5% plain bupivacaine 13.75 mg, then			
			morphine-6-glucuronide 125 μg (1 mL) (M6G125)			

Murphy PM 2003 ⁴⁴	B/2	20/20/20/2	IT anaesthesia with bupivacaine 15 mg + IT	Pain scores sig	Sig longer	Mean suppl morphine
		0	morphine 50 µg <i>vs.</i> IT anaesthesia with	lower in 100 and	in 100 and	consumption over 1st 24 h
			bupivacaine 15 mg + IT morphine 100 µg <i>vs.</i> IT	200 µg morphine	200 µg	sig lower in 100 and 200 µg
			anaesthesia with bupivacaine 15 mg + IT morphine	groups <i>vs.</i> 50 µg	morphine	morphine groups <i>vs.</i> 50 µg
			200 µg vs. IT anaesthesia with bupivacaine 15 mg	and control group	groups <i>vs.</i>	and control group (p<0.05).
			(control)	(p<0.05). 100 <i>vs.</i>	50 µg and	100 <i>vs.</i> IT 200 µg group: NS
				200 µg group NS at	control	
				all time points	group	
					(p<0.05).	
					100 <i>vs.</i> IT	
					200 µg	
					group: NS	
Slappendel R 1999 ⁵⁰	B/2	35/37/37/3	Bupivacaine 20 mg plus morphine 0.025 mg	NS (p≥0.05)	Not	BM0.1 superior to BM0.025
		4	(BM0.025) vs. bupivacaine 20 mg plus morphine		reported	(p<0.01); BM0.2 superior to
			0.05 mg (BM0.05) <i>vs.</i> bupivacaine 20 mg plus			BM0.025 (p<0.01). Other
			morphine 0.1 mg (BM0.1) <i>vs.</i> bupivacaine 20 mg			combinations NS (p≥0.05)
			plus morphine 0.2 mg (BM0.2)			

Spinal clonidine, placebo-c	controlled					
Fogarty DJ 1993 ⁴⁰	B/3	30/30/30	IT anaesthesia with 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5%	BM superior to BC	BM superior	BM superior to B and BC
			over 10 s then morphine 1 mg diluted to 1 mL with	4, 6, 8 & 10 h (4, 8	to B and BC	(p<0.05). BC <i>vs.</i> B: NS
			saline 0.9% (BM) vs. 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine	& 10 h, p < 0.01;	(p<0.05). BC	
			0.5% over 10 s then clonidine 75–100 μg	6 h, p < 0.001); BM	superior to B	
			(depending on weight) diluted to 1 mL with saline	superior to B at 4,	(p<0.05)	
			0.9% (BC) vs. 2.75 mL plain bupivacaine 0.5% over	6, 8 and 10 h		
			10 s then 1 mL saline 0.9% (B)	(p<0.05); BC		
				superior to B at 2		
				(p<0.05) & 4 h		
				(p<0.001). B		
				superior to BC and		
				BM at 24 h		
				(p<0.05).		
Fournier R 2002 ⁵¹	B/4	15/15/15	When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil	All comparisons:	All	All comparisons: NS
			7.5 μg plus epinephrine 200 μg in 2 mL normal	NS (p≥0.05)	comparisons:	(p≥0.05)
			saline, over 30 s (SE) <i>vs.</i> IT sufentanil 7.5 μg plus		NS (p≥0.05)	
			clonidine 30 μ g in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s			
			(SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 μ g in 2 mL normal saline,			
			over 30 s (S)			

Grace D 1995 ⁴²	B/3	30/30/30	Morphine sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25 mL) plus clonidine	At rest: BM superior	BM superior	BM superior to B
			hydrochloride 75 μg (0.5 mL) (BMC) <i>vs.</i> morphine	to B at 2 h (p<0.04)	to B	(p<0.001). BMC superior
			sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25 mL) (BM) vs. 0.9% sodium	& 4 h (p<0.001); NS	(p<0.001).	to B
			chloride (1 ml) (B); all groups received 0.5%	at all other times	BMC superior	(p<0.001). BMC <i>vs.</i> BM:
			isobaric bupivacaine 13.75 mg (2.75 mL)	(p≥0.05).	to B	NS
				BMC superior to B	(p<0.001).	
				at 2 h (p<0.04), 4 h	BMC <i>vs.</i> BM:	
				(p<0.001), 6 h	NS	
				(p<0.002) & 24 h		
				(p<0.009). BMC <i>vs.</i>		
				BM: NS		
Other combinations of spin	al agents	1	-	I	I	
Grace D 1994 ⁴¹	B/3	30/30/30	Pethidine 0.75 mg/kg and clonidine 75 μ g (0.5 mL)	At rest: BM superior	BM superior to	BM superior to B
			made up to 3.75 mL with 0.9% sodium chloride	to B 2 h (p=0.04),	B (p<0.001).	(p<0.001). BM superior
			(PC) vs. 0.5% isobaric bupivacaine 13.75 mg	4 h (p<0.018) & 6 h	BM superior to	to PC (p = 0.001) B <i>vs.</i>
			(2.75 mL), then morphine sulphate 0.5 mg (0.25	(p<0.02); NS 10 h	PC (p =	PC: NS.
			mL) and 0.9% sodium chloride (0.75 mL) (BM) vs.	(p≥0.05). BM	0.001). B <i>vs.</i>	
			0.5% isobaric bupivacaine	superior to PC at	PC: NS	
				4 h (p < 0.001), 6 h		
				(p < 0.04) & 10 h (p		
				< 0.02), but NS at		
				2 h (p ≥ 0.05). B		
				superior to PC at		

			10 h (p<0.05) but		
			NS at 2, 4 & 6 h		
			postop (p ≥ 0.05)		
B/4	15/15/15	When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil	All comparisons:	All	All comparisons: NS
		7.5 μg plus epinephrine 200 μg in 2 mL normal	NS (p≥0.05)	comparisons	: (p≥0.05)
		saline, over 30 s (SE) <i>vs.</i> IT sufentanil 7.5 μg plus		NS (p≥0.05)	
		clonidine 30 μ g in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s			
		(SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 μg in 2 mL normal saline,			
		over 30 s (S)			
imens		<u> </u>	·		.
B/2	20/21	In PACU, infusion of plain bupivacaine 0.125% at	At rest: PCA	Not f	² CA superior for reducing
		0.6 mg/h plus bupivacaine 0.6 mg boluses on	superior to bolus for	reported t	otal dose of bupivacaine
		demand via PCA device with 30-min lockout (PCA)	mean score over	(p<0.01). Supplementary
		vs. first bolus when VAS pain score >50 mm and	18 h and for every	ľ	piritramide: NS (p≥0.05)
		thereafter on demand bupivacaine 0.25% 3.75 mg	1-time point		
		subarachnoid boluses on demand (bolus)	between 2 and 18 h		
			(except 3 and 14 h)		
4					
	B/4 imens B/2	B/4 15/15/15 imens B/2 20/21	B/4 15/15/15 When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil 7.5 μg plus epinephrine 200 μg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SE) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 μg plus clonidine 30 μg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 μg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 μg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (S) imens B/2 20/21 In PACU, infusion of plain bupivacaine 0.125% at 0.6 mg/h plus bupivacaine 0.6 mg boluses on demand via PCA device with 30-min lockout (PCA) vs. first bolus when VAS pain score >50 mm and thereafter on demand bupivacaine 0.25% 3.75 mg subarachnoid boluses on demand (bolus)	B/4 15/15/15 When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil postop (p ≥ 0.05) All comparisons: NS (p≥0.05) B/4 15/15/15 When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil r.5 µg plus epinephrine 200 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SE) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 µg plus clonidine 30 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (S) All comparisons: NS (p≥0.05) imens B/2 20/21 In PACU, infusion of plain bupivacaine 0.125% at 0.6 mg/h plus bupivacaine 0.6 mg boluses on demand via PCA device with 30-min lockout (PCA) vs. first bolus when VAS pain score >50 mm and thereafter on demand bupivacaine 0.25% 3.75 mg 	B/415/15/15When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil postop (p ≥ 0.05)All comparisons: NS at 2, 4 & 6 h postop (p ≥ 0.05)B/415/15/15When postop VAS pain score >3/10, IT sufentanil 7.5 µg plus epinephrine 200 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SE) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 µg plus clonidine 30 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (SC) vs. IT sufentanil 7.5 µg in 2 mL normal saline, over 30 s (S)All comparisons: NS (p ≥ 0.05) <i>imens</i> B/220/21In PACU, infusion of plain bupivacaine 0.125% at 0.6 mg/h plus bupivacaine 0.6 mg boluses on demand via PCA device with 30-min lockout (PCA) vs. first bolus when VAS pain score >50 mm and thereafter on demand bupivacaine 0.25% 3.75 mg subarachnoid boluses on demand (bolus)At rest: PCA mean score 0.25% 3.05 mg 1-time point between 2 and 18 h (except 3 and 14 h)

IT = spinal administration; epd = epidural; PACU = postanaesthesia care unit; p<0.05 = significant difference in favour of treatment versus control;

NS = no significant difference between treatment and control ($p \ge 0.05$)

Study ID	Quality Grade Score	N treat/ control	Drug, dose, route and timing	VAS scores/ Type of pain, where specified	Time to first analgesic request	Use of supplemental analgesic							
							Horwitz BR 1993 ⁵³	C/1	49/51	Modified Hardinge approach vs. transtrochanteric lateral approach	NS (p≥0.05)	Not reported	Not reported
							Borghi B 2004 ⁵⁴	A/4	24/24	Epidural catheter insertion with the tip of the Tuohy needle rotated 45° toward the operative side <i>vs.</i> tip of the Tuohy needle in the conventional position (90° cephalad)	VRS: NS	Not reported	NS
Ravikumar KJ 2001 ⁵⁵	A/4	12/13	Drains, one placed next to joint and one in subcutaneous fat layer, exiting anterior to incision, for 24 h <i>vs.</i> no drains.	Drains had higher pain scores than no drains on days 2 and 4 (no p values reported)	Not reported	Not reported							

p < 0.05 = significant difference in favour of treatment versus control; NS = no significant difference between treatment and control ($p \ge 0.05$)

References

- Dahl V, Raeder JC, Drosdal S, Wathne O, Brynildsrud J. Prophylactic oral ibuprofen or ibuprofen-codeine versus placebo for postoperative pain after primary hip arthroplasty. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1995; **39**: 323–6.
- Fletcher D, Zetlaoui P, Monin S, Bombart M, Samii K. Influence of timing on the analgesic effect of intravenous ketorolac after orthopedic surgery. *Pain* 1995; 61: 291–7.
- Fogarty DJ, O'Hanlon JJ, Milligan KR. Intramuscular ketorolac following total hip replacement with spinal anaesthesia and intrathecal morphine. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1995; **39**: 191–4.
- Iohom G, Walsh M, Higgins G, Shorten G. Effect of perioperative administration of dexketoprofen on opioid requirements and inflammatory response following elective hip arthroplasty. *Br J Anaesth* 2002; 88: 520–6.
- Laitinen J, Nuutinen L. Intravenous diclofenac coupled with PCA fentanyl for pain relief after total hip replacement. *Anesthesiology* 1992;
 76: 194–8.
- 6. Segstro R, Morley-Forster PK, Lu G. Indomethacin as a postoperative analgesic for total hip arthroplasty. *Can J Anaesth* 1991; **38**: 578–81.
- Serpell MG, Thomson MF. Comparison of piroxicam with placebo in the management of pain after total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 1989;
 63: 354–6.

- Bugter ML, Dirksen R, Jhamandas K, et al. Prior ibuprofen exposure does not augment opioid drug potency or modify opioid requirements for pain inhibition in total hip surgery. *Can J Anaesth* 2003; **50**: 445–9.
- Kostamovaara PA, Hendolon H, Kokki H, Nuutinen LS. Ketorolac, diclofenac and ketoprofen are equally efficacious for pain relief after total hip replacement surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 1998; **81**: 369–72.
- Camu F, Beecher T, Recker DP, Verburg KM. Valdecoxib, a COX-2specific inhibitor, is an efficacious, opioid-sparing analgesic in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty. *Am J Ther* 2002; **9**: 43–51.
- 11. O'Sullivan G, Bullingham RE, McQuay HJ, *et al.* A comparison of intramuscular and sublingual buprenorphine, intramuscular morphine and placebo as premedication. *Anaesthesia* 1983; **38**: 977–84.
- Bourke M, Hayes A, Doyle M, McCarroll M. A Comparison of Regularly Administered Sustained Release Oral Morphine with Intramuscular Morphine for Control of Postoperative Pain. *Anesth Analg* 2000; 90: 427–30.
- Fee JP, Brady MM, Furness G, Chambers M, Clarke RS. Analgesia after hip replacement surgery: comparison of nalbuphine with morphine. *Br J Anaesth* 1989; 63: 756–8.
- Frater RA, Moores MA, Parry P, Hanning CD. Analgesia-induced respiratory depression: comparison of meptazinol and morphine in the postoperative period. *Br J Anaesth* 1989; **63**: 260–5.
- 15. Keita H, Geachan N, Dahmani S, *et al.* Comparison between patientcontrolled analgesia and subcutaneous morphine in elderly patients after total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 2003; **90**: 53–7.

- McCormack JP, Warriner CB, Levine M, Glick N. A comparison of regularly dosed oral morphine and on-demand intramuscular morphine in the treatment of postsurgical pain. *Can J Anaesth* 1993; **40**: 819–24.
- 17. Robinson SL, Rowbotham DJ, Smith G. Morphine compared with diamorphine. A comparison of dose requirements and side-effects after hip surgery. *Anaesthesia* 1991; **46**: 538–40.
- Stubhaug A, Grimstad J, Breivik H. Lack of analgesic effect of 50 and 100 mg oral tramadol after orthopaedic surgery: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo and standard active drug comparison. *Pain* 1995; 62: 111–8.
- Peduto VA, Ballabio M, Stefanini S. Efficacy of propacetamol in the treatment of postoperative pain. Morphine-sparing effect in orthopedic surgery. Italian Collaborative Group on Propacetamol. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1998; **42**: 293–8.
- 20. Kandler D, Lisander B. Analgesic action of metoclopramide in prosthetic hip Surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1993; **37**: 49–53.
- Biboulet P, Morau D, Aubas P, Bringuier-Branchereau S, Capdevila X.
 Postoperative analgesia after total-hip arthroplasty: Comparison of intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with morphine and single injection of femoral nerve or psoas compartment block. a prospective, randomized, double-blind study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2004; 29: 102–9.
- Fournier R, Van Gessel E, Gaggero G, et al. Postoperative analgesia with "3-in-1" femoral nerve block after prosthetic hip surgery. Can J Anaesth 1998; 45: 34–8.

- 23. Stevens RD, Van Gessel E, Flory N, Fournier R, Gamulin Z. Lumbar plexus block reduces pain and blood loss associated with total hip arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **93**: 115–21.
- 24. Singelyn FJ, Vanderelst PE, Gouverneur JM. Extended femoral nerve sheath block after total hip arthroplasty: continuous versus patient-controlled techniques. *Anesth Analg* 2001; **92**: 455–9.
- 25. Wulf H, Biscoping J, Beland B, Bachmann-Mennenga B, Motsch J. Ropivacaine epidural anesthesia and analgesia versus general anesthesia and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with morphine in the perioperative management of hip replacement. Ropivacaine Hip Replacement Multicenter Study Group. *Anesth Analg* 1999; **89**: 111–6.
- Moiniche S, Hjortso NC, Hansen BL, et al. The effect of balanced analgesia on early convalescence after major orthopaedic surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1994; 38: 328–35.
- 27. Gustafsson LL, Johannisson J, Garle M. Extradural and parenteral pethidine as analgesia after total hip replacement: effects and kinetics.
 A controlled clinical study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1986; **29**: 529–34.
- 28. Carabine UA, Milligan KR, Moore J. Extradural clonidine and bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1992; 68: 132–5.
- 29. Kostamovaara PA, Laurila JJ, Alahuhta S, Salomaki TE. Ropivacaine 1 mg x ml(-1) does not decrease the need for epidural fentanyl after hip replacement surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2001; **45**: 489–94.
- 30. Milligan KR, Convery PN, Weir P, Quinn P, Connolly D. The efficacy and safety of epidural infusions of levobupivacaine with and without

clonidine for postoperative pain relief in patients undergoing total hip replacement. *Anesth Analg* 2000; **91**: 393–7.

- Bertini L, Mancini S, Di Benedetto P, et al. Postoperative analgesia by combined continuous infusion and patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA) following hip replacement: ropivacaine versus bupivacaine. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2001; 45: 782–5.
- 32. Casati A, Santorsola R, Aldegheri G, *et al.* Intraoperative epidural anesthesia and postoperative analgesia with levobupivacaine for major orthopedic surgery: a double-blind, randomized comparison of racemic bupivacaine and ropivacaine. *J Clin Anesth* 2003; **15**: 126–31.
- Berti M, Fanelli G, Casati A, et al. Comparison between epidural infusion of fentanyl/bupivacaine and morphine/bupivacaine after orthopaedic surgery. Can J Anaesth 1998; 45: 545–50.
- 34. Kampe S, Kiencke P, Delis A, et al. The continuous epidural infusion of ropivacaine 0.1% with 0.5 microg x mL(-1) sufentanil provides effective postoperative analgesia after total hip replacement: a pilot study. Can J Anaesth 2003; 50: 580–5.
- 35. Carabine UA, Milligan KR, Mulholland D, Moore J. Extradural clonidine infusions for analgesia after total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 1992;
 68: 338–43.
- 36. Maurer K, Bonvini JM, Ekatodramis G, Serena S, Borgeat A. Continuous spinal anesthesia/analgesia vs. single-shot spinal anesthesia with patient-controlled analgesia for elective hip arthroplasty. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2003; **47**: 878–83.

- 37. Möllmann M, Cord S, Holst D, Auf der Landwehr U. Continuous spinal anaesthesia or continuous epidural anaesthesia for post-operative pain control after hip replacement? *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 1999; **16**: 454–61.
- Souron V, Delaunay L, Schifrine P. Intrathecal morphine provides better postoperative analgesia than psoas compartment block after primary hip arthroplasty. *Can J Anaesth* 2003; **50**: 574–9.
- Fernandez-Galinski D, Rue M, Moral V, Castells C, Puig MM. Spinal Anesthesia with Bupivacaine and Fentanyl in Geriatric Patients. *Anesth Analg* 1996; 83: 537–41.
- Fogarty DJ, Carabine UA, Milligan KR. Comparison of the analgesic effects of intrathecal clonidine and intrathecal morphine after spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 1993; **71**: 661–4.
- Grace D, Milligan KR, Morrow BJ, Fee JP. Co-administration of pethidine and clonidine: a spinal anaesthetic technique for total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 1994; **73**: 628–33.
- 42. Grace D, Bunting H, Milligan KR, Fee JP. Postoperative analgesia after co-administration of clonidine and morphine by the intrathecal route in patients undergoing hip replacement. *Anesth Analg* 1995; **80**: 86–91.
- 43. Milligan KR, Fogarty DJ. The characteristics of analgesic requirements following subarachnoid diamorphine in patients undergoing total hip replacement. *Reg Anesth* 1993; **18**: 114–7.
- Murphy PM, Stack D, Kinirons B, Laffey JG. Optimizing the dose of intrathecal morphine in older patients undergoing hip arthroplasty. *Anesth Analg* 2003; 97: 1709–15.

- 45. Glaser C, Marhofer P, Zimpfer G, et al. Levobupivacaine versus racemic bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia. Anesth Analg 2002; 94: 194–8, table of contents.
- 46. Fournier R, Van Gessel E, Macksay M, Gamulin Z. Onset and offset of intrathecal morphine versus nalbuphine for postoperative pain relief after total hip replacement. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2000; **44**: 940–5.
- 47. Fournier R, Van Gessel E, Weber A, Gamulin Z. A comparison of intrathecal analgesia with fentanyl or sufentanil after total hip replacement. *Anesth Analg* 2000; **90**: 918–22.
- Fogarty DJ, Milligan KR. Postoperative analgesia following total hip replacement: a comparison of intrathecal morphine and diamorphine. J R Soc Med 1995; 88: 70–2.
- 49. Grace D, Fee JP. A comparison of intrathecal morphine-6-glucuronide and intrathecal morphine sulfate as analgesics for total hip replacement. *Anesth Analg* 1996; **83**: 1055–9.
- 50. Slappendel R, Weber EWG, Dirksen R, Gielen MJ, van Limbeek J.
 Optimization of the Dose of Intrathecal Morphine in Total Hip Surgery:
 A Dose Finding Study. *Anesth Analg* 1999; 88: 822–6.
- 51. Fournier R, Van Gessel E, Weber A, Gamulin Z. Epinephrine and clonidine do not improve intrathecal sufentanil analgesia after total hip replacement. *Br J Anaesth* 2002; **89**: 562–6.
- 52. Rundshagen I, Standl T, Kochs E, Muller M, Schulte am Esch J. Continuous spinal analgesia. Comparison between patient-controlled and bolus administration of plain bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief. *Reg Anesth* 1997; 22: 150–6.

- Horowitz BR, Rockowitz NL, Goll SG, et al. A Prospective Randomized Comparison of Two Surgical Approaches to Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop* 1993; **291**: 154–63.
- 54. Borghi B, Agnoletti V, Ricci A, *et al.* A prospective, randomized evaluation of the effects of epidural needle rotation on the distribution of epidural block. *Anesth Analg* 2004; **98**: 1473–8, table of contents.
- 55. Ravikumar KJ, Alwan T, Fordyce MJF, Tuson KWR. Drainage versus non-drainage in total hip arthroplasty. A prospective randomised study. *Hip International* 2001; **11**: 49–54.